BEFORE THE BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)		
)	File No.	1B-2012-222814
MARLEY MELINDA TAYLOR, D.P.M.)		
Doctor of Podiatric Medicine)		
License No. E 3426)		
)		
Respondent.)		

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted by the Board of Podiatric Medicine of the Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 27, 2015 DATED

January 28, 2015

BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE

Kristina M. Dixon, MBA, President

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2	Attorney General of California JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ASHLEY HARLAN Benefit Attorney General				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 284586 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000				
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5709				
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-1234 Attorneys for Complainant				
7	Autorneys for Complainani				
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE				
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:				
12	MARLEY MELINDA TAYLOR, DPM	Case No. 1B-2012-222814			
13	853 Middlefield Road, Ste 3	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND			
14	Palo Alto, CA 94301	DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
15	Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. E3426				
16	Respondent.				
17					
18					
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-				
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:				
21		RTIES cont'll is the Executive Officer of the Board of			
22 23		nant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of			
İ	Podiatric Medicine. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this				
24	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Ashley Harlan,				
25	Deputy Attorney General.	an and ant!!) is names ant ad in this presenting by			
26		spondent") is represented in this proceeding by			
27 28	attorney C. Keith Greer, Esq., whose address is:				
40					

Greer & Associates, A.P.C.

17150 Via Del Campo, Suite 100

San Diego, CA 92127

3. On March 10, 1987, the Board of Podiatric Medicine issued Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. E3426 to Marley Melinda Taylor, DPM, Respondent. The Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 1B-2012-222814 and will expire on February 28, 2015, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 1B-2012-222814 was filed before the Board of Podiatric Medicine ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 30, 2014. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 1B-2012-222814 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 1B-2012-222814. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 1B-2012-222814, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Podiatric Medicine. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Podiatric Medicine may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format ("PDF") and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. E3426 issued to Respondent Marley Melinda Taylor, DPM ("Respondent") is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

2. ETHICS COURSE

Within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's own expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year of probation is a violation of probation.

An ethics course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by

the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision.

3. NOTIFICATION

Prior to engaging in the practice of podiatric medicine, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of podiatric medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

4. PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS

Prior to receiving assistance from a physician assistant, Respondent must notify the supervising physician of the terms and conditions of his/her probation.

5. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of podiatric medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

6. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

7. PROBATION COMPLIANCE UNIT

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no

circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of podiatric medicine in Respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California doctor of podiatric medicine's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

8. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE

Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office with the Board or its designee, upon request, at various intervals and either with or without notice throughout the term of probation.

9. RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in section 2472 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions, with the exception of this condition, and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent's periods of temporary

or permanent residence or practice outside California totals two years. However, Respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing podiatric medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

10. FAILURE TO PRACTICE PODIATRIC MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event the Respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent stops practicing podiatric medicine in California, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California as defined in this condition will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in section 2472 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code section 2472.

11. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.

12. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that

was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final, and no petition for modification of penalty shall be considered while there is an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation pending against Respondent.

13. COST RECOVERY

Within 90 calendar days from the effective date of the Decision or other period agreed to by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall reimburse the Board the amount of \$5,542.50 for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or period of non-practice by Respondent shall not relieve the Respondent of her obligation to reimburse the Board for its costs.

14. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons, or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request the voluntary surrender of Respondent's license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate the Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice podiatric medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of Respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a podiatric medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

15. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Podiatric Medicine and delivered to the Board or its designee within 60 days after the start of the new fiscal year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of this date is a violation of probation.

16. NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES

Respondent shall, upon or before the effective date of this Decision, post or circulate a notice which actually recites the offenses for which Respondent has been disciplined and the terms and conditions of probation to all employees involved in his/her practice. Within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall cause her employees to report to the Board in writing, acknowledging the employees have read the Accusation and Decision in the case and understand Respondent's terms and conditions of probation.

17. CHANGES OF EMPLOYMENT

Respondent shall notify the Board in writing, through the assigned probation officer, of any and all changes of employment, location, and address within thirty (30) days of such change.

18. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

Respondent shall submit satisfactory proof biennially to the Board of compliance with the requirement to complete fifty hours of approved continuing medical education, and meet continuing competence requirements for re-licensure during each two (2) year renewal period.

<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, C. Keith Greer, Esq.. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Podiatric Medicine.

DATED:

11/21/14 MARIEN MELLO

MARLEY MELINDA TAYLOR, DPM Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Marley Melinda Taylor, DPM the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 11 24 14

C. KEITH GREER, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Podiatric Medicine. Dated: December 10, 2014 SF2014407240 41078867.doc

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 1B-2012-222814

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA Kamala D. Harris 1 SACRAMENTO Nay 30. Attorney General of California **ANALYST** BY Jan K. 2 JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General ASHLEY HARLAN 3 Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 284586 4 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 5 Telephone: (415) 703-5709 Facsimile: (415) 703-1234 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE 8 BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 MARLEY MELINDA TAYLOR, DPM Case No. 1B-2012-222814 13 853 Middlefield Road, Suite 3 ACCUSATION 14 Palo Alto, CA 94301 **Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License** 15 No. E 3426 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 James Rathlesberger ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official 1. 21 capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Podiatric Medicine, Department of Consumer 22 Affairs. 23 On or about March 10, 1987, the Board of Podiatric Medicine issued Doctor of 2. 24 Podiatric Medicine License number E 3426 to Marley Melinda Taylor, DPM ("Respondent"). 25 The Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 26 charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2015, unless renewed. 27

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Podiatric Medicine ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2222 of the Code states the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall enforce and administer this article as to doctors of podiatric medicine. Any acts of unprofessional conduct or other violations proscribed by this chapter are applicable to licensed doctors of podiatric medicine and wherever the Medical Quality Hearing Panel established under Section 11371 of the Government Code is vested with the authority to enforce and carry out this chapter as to licensed physicians and surgeons, the Medical Quality Hearing Panel also possesses that same authority as to licensed doctors of podiatric medicine.

The California Board of Podiatric Medicine may order the denial of an application or issue a certificate subject to conditions as set forth in Section 2221, or order the revocation, suspension, or other restriction of, or the modification of that penalty, and the reinstatement of any certificate of a doctor of podiatric medicine within its authority as granted by this chapter and in conjunction with the administrative hearing procedures established pursuant to Sections 11371, 11372, 11373, and 11529 of the Government Code. For these purposes, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall exercise the powers granted and be governed by the procedures set forth in this chapter.

- 5. Section 2497 of the Code states:
- "(a) The board may order the denial of an application for, or the suspension of, or the revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a certificate to practice podiatric medicine for any of the causes set forth in Article 12 (commencing with Section 2220) in accordance with Section 2222.
- "(b) The board may hear all matters, including but not limited to, any contested case or may assign any such matters to an administrative law judge. The proceedings shall be held in accordance with Section 2230. If a contested case is heard by the board itself, the administrative

law judge who presided at the hearing shall be present during the board's consideration of the case and shall assist and advise the board."

6. Section 2234 of the Code states, in relevant part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts. ..."
 - 7. Section 2261 of the Code states:

"Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

8. Section 2262 of the Code states:

"Altering or modifying the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or creating any false medical record, with fraudulent intent, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

"In addition to any other disciplinary action, the Division of Medical Quality or the California Board of Podiatric Medicine may impose a civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500) for a violation of this section."

9. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

COST RECOVERY

- 10. Section 2497.5 of the Code states:
- "(a) The board may request the administrative law judge, under his or her proposed decision in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, to direct any licensee found

guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.

- "(b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not in any event be increased by the board. When the board does not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to an administrative law judge, the administrative law judge shall not increase the amount of any costs assessed in the proposed decision.
- "(c) When the payment directed in the board's order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order for payment by bringing an action in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs.
- "(d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
 - "(e)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
 - "(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within one year period for those unpaid costs.
- "(f) All costs recovered under this section shall be deposited in the Podiatry Fund as a reimbursement in either the fiscal year in which the costs are actually recovered or the previous fiscal year, as the board may direct."
 - 11. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee com-plete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory confe-rences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. On June 1, 2009, I.W.¹ attended a consultation with Respondent, seeking a second opinion for a failed bunionectomy on her left foot, which was performed by Dr. B.D. in 2008. The failed bunionectomy resulted in a hallux varus deformity and a deformity of the second toe, causing Respondent back pain.
- 13. On August 25, 2010, I.W. returned to Respondent to schedule surgery on her foot for her continued hallux varus and pain in the first and second toes. Respondent's patient records make no indication of significant pain in the third, fourth, or fifth toes. Respondent's surgical plan does not include procedures on the fifth toe.
 - 14. On November 10, 2010, I.W. signed a surgical consent form, which states:

I authorize the following operation or procedure to be performed upon me... Chevron osteotomy of the first metatarsal with internal fixation (to remove bone

Initials are used to protect individual and patient confidentiality. Names will be released with discovery.

and straighten the great toe by cutting and realigning bone and soft tissue with permanent wire and/or screws); arthroplasty/arthrodesis of digits two, three, four with possible percutaneous K-wire fixation (to cut and realign bone and soft tissue with possible fusion of bone held in place with wire); possible tenotomy and capsulotomy of the second, third, fourth metatarsal-phalangeal joints (to release tendon and/or capsule in order to straighten the toe with possible wire fixation) – all the above on the LEFT foot.

- I.W. did not consent to procedures on the fifth toe.
- 15. On November 19, 2010, Respondent performed surgery on I.W. During the surgery, Respondent attempted screw removal, which was unsuccessful. Respondent then performed modified Silver bunionectomy, along with implant removal, fusion of the second toe, and arthroplasty of the third, fourth, and fifth toes. Respondent's operative report and hand-written patient records fail to state a rationale for performing an arthroplasty on the fifth toe.
- 16. On December 28, 2010, Respondent removed I.W.'s k-wire and ordered physical therapy.
- 17. From January 1, 2011 to January 28, 2011, I.W. received physical therapy for her left foot.
 - 18. On July 1, 2011, I.W. attended her final appointment with Respondent.
 - 19. On August 19, 2011, I.W. requested a copy of her patient records from Respondent.
- 20. On September 14, 2011, Respondent provided I.W. with a typed copy of her patient records.
- 21. On April 6, 2012, the Board received a consumer complaint from I.W. Attached to I.W.'s consumer complaint were copies of the typed patient records I.W. received from Respondent on September 14, 2011, which she had annotated to identify numerous inaccurate and/or false statements.
- 22. On May 7, 2012, I.W. signed an Authorization for Release of Medical Information, authorizing the Board to obtain a copy of her medical records from Respondent.
- 23. On May 30, 2012, Respondent provided the Board with a certified copy of I.W.'s typed patient records.

- 24. On August 19, 2013, a Medical Board of California Investigator ("Investigator") interviewed Respondent. During the interview, Respondent denied altering I.W.'s patient records. She agreed to permit the Board to inspect her computer to verify the authenticity of I.W.'s patient records.
- 25. On October 23, 2013, the Investigator interviewed Respondent for a second time. During the interview, Respondent admitted that she kept handwritten records for I.W., which she did not type until approximately three weeks after I.W. requested copies of her patient records. Respondent also admitted that she altered the patient records when she typed them. Respondent provided the Investigator with a copy of the handwritten patient records.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Negligence; Repeated Acts of Negligence)

- 26. Paragraphs 11 through 30 are incorporated herein.
- 27. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234(b) for gross negligence and/or 2234(c) for repeated acts of negligence, in that each of the following constitutes an extreme departure from the standard of care:
 - Respondent failed to maintain accurate and complete patient records, in that the typed
 patient records Respondent produced to the Board contained numerous inaccuracies
 and/or false statements. Additionally, Respondent's typed patient records failed to
 include the date the record was signed, the date the record was transcribed, and
 Respondent's signature.
 - 2. Prior to performing surgery on I.W.'s fifth toe, Respondent failed to obtain informed consent from I.W., or otherwise document in the operative report why it became necessary to operate on I.W.'s fifth toe and notify I.W. as to why surgery became necessary on the fifth toe in the initial post-operative consultation.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Incompetence)

28. Paragraphs 11 through 30 are incorporated herein.

29. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234(d) for incompetence, in that she performed surgery on I.W.'s fifth toe without first obtaining informed consent, or otherwise documenting in the operative report and notifying I.W. as to why it became necessary to operate on the fifth toe in the initial post-operative consultation.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Dishonest Act False Representations;
Alteration of Medical Records; Inaccurate Patient Records)

- 30. Paragraphs 11 through 30 are incorporated herein.
- 31. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234(e) for dishonest act, and/or 2261 for false representations, and/or 2262 for alteration of medical records, and/or 2266 for inaccurate patient records, in that the certified copy of I.W.'s typed patient records which she provided to the Board were not maintained contemporaneous to the treatment provided to I.W, but rather were typed approximately three weeks after I.W. requested a copy of her patient records, without providing any indication of such. During the August 19, 2013 interview with the Investigator, Respondent denied having altered I.W.'s records. During the October 23, 2013 interview with the Investigator, Respondent admitted that she kept handwritten records for I.W., which she did not transcribe until approximately three weeks after I.W. requested copies of her patient records. Respondent also admitted that she altered the patient records as she transcribed them.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Podiatric Medicine issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License Number E 3426, issued to Marley Melinda Taylor, DPM;
- 2. Ordering Marley Melinda Taylor, DPM to pay the Board of Podiatric Medicine the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2497.5, and if placed on probation, the cost of probation monitoring, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227; and

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	
4	DATED: May 30 2014 JAMES RATHLESBERGER
5	JAMES RATHLESBERGER Executive Officer
6	Board of Podiatric Medicine
7	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant
8	Complanan
9	SF2014407240 40911816.doc
10	40911810.doc
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	